

GENERATION MINING

**Building Canada's Next
Critical Minerals Mine**

December 2025

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT

This presentation contains certain forward-looking information and forward-looking statements, as defined in applicable securities laws (collectively referred to herein as “forward-looking statements”). Forward-looking statements reflect current expectations or beliefs regarding future events or the Company’s future performance. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Often, but not always, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “plans”, “expects”, “is expected”, “budget”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “continues”, “forecasts”, “projects”, “predicts”, “intends”, “anticipates”, “targets” or “believes”, or variations of, or the negatives of, such words and phrases or state that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “should”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved, including statements relating to the Company’s Technical Report (as defined below) and results therefrom, mineral resource and reserve estimates, the timing of permitting and construction, the availability of sufficient financing to commence construction and the timing of such financing, proposed mine production plans, projected mining and process recovery rates (including mining dilution), estimates related to closure costs and requirements, metal prices (including the effects of supply demand imbalances on the metals the Company intends to produce) and other economic assumptions (including currency exchange rates), projected capital and operating costs, and AISC, financial or economic analysis estimates (including cash flow forecasts, NPVs, IRRs and payback periods), and mine life.

Although the Company believes that the expectations expressed in such statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the statements. There are certain factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking information. These include commodity price volatility, continued availability of capital and financing, uncertainties involved in interpreting geological data, increases in costs, environmental compliance and changes in environmental legislation and regulation, the Company’s relationships with First Nations communities, exploration successes, and general economic, market or business conditions, as well as those risk factors set out in the Company’s annual information form, the Technical Report that the Company filed in connection with the Feasibility Study Update and in the continuous disclosure documents filed by the Company on SEDAR at www.sedarplus.ca. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list of factors is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect forward-looking statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this presentation speak only as of the date of this presentation or as of the date or dates specified in such statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on a number of assumptions which may prove to be incorrect, including, but not limited to, assumptions relating to: the availability of financing for the Company’s operations; operating and capital costs; results of operations; the mine development and production schedule and related costs; the supply and demand for, and the level and volatility of commodity prices; timing of the receipt of regulatory and governmental approvals for development projects and other operations; the accuracy of mineral reserve and resource estimates, production estimates and capital and operating cost estimates; and general business and economic conditions.

Investors are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking information. For more information on the Company, investors are encouraged to review the Company’s public filings on SEDAR at www.sedarplus.ca. The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward- looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, other than as required by law.

Technical Information

The scientific and technical information contained in Appendix D of this presentation was reviewed and approved by Matthew Pitts, P.Geo., Exploration Manager of Generation PGM Inc. (“**Gen PGM**”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Generation Mining Limited (“**Company**”). All other scientific and technical information in this presentation was reviewed and approved by Daniel Janusauskas, P.Eng., Technical Services Manager of Gen PGM. Each is a “Qualified Person” as defined under National Instrument 43-101 – *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects*. For further information see the Technical Report entitled “Marathon Copper-Palladium Project - Feasibility Study Report Update”, dated March 28, 2025, with an effective date of November 1, 2024, and filed under the Company’s profile on www.sedarplus.ca or on the Company’s website at <https://genmining.com/projects/feasibility-study/> (the “**Technical Report**”).

VALUE PROPOSITION: WHY GENERATION MINING

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF



Copper-Palladium project in tier one jurisdiction in Northwestern Ontario



Shovel-ready with all necessary construction permits approved



Trading at a substantial discount to its peers – approx. 15% of NPV



Strong support from local Indigenous communities, the town of Marathon, Provincial and Federal governments

METALS FOR THE ENERGY TRANSITION


GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

PALLADIUM

4 million oz[#]

168,000 oz/yr^{*}




Palladium is used to **scrub nitrous oxide from gasoline exhaust**. Nitrous oxide is 300X more potent than CO₂ as a greenhouse gas.

Annual palladium produced will supply ~ 735,000 cars.

COPPER

1.1 billion lbs[#]

42 million lbs/yr^{*}



An electric car needs about 180 lbs of copper, more than four times that of a gasoline-powered vehicle. Annual copper produced will supply ~ 225,000 cars per year.

PLATINUM

1.3 million oz[#]

38,000 oz/yr^{*}



Hydrogen Fuel Cells need 1-2 ounces of platinum per vehicle. More is needed in the manufacture of hydrogen fuel.

[#] Total Measured and Indicated Mineral Resource estimates. For additional information relating to the Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources contained in the Marathon, Sally and Geordie deposits, including categories, quantities and grades, see Appendix A at the end of this presentation.

^{*} Average annual payable metal estimates for the Marathon deposit. For additional information see Sections 16 and 22.2 in the Feasibility Study at <https://genmining.com/projects/feasibility-study/>.

ACTIVE MINES IN NORTHWESTERN ONTARIO

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

Carcetti Capital:

Hemlo Gold Mine

(Formerly Barrick)

Alamos Gold:

Magino & Island Gold Mines

Equinox Gold:


Greenstone Mine

Impala Platinum:

Lac Des Iles Mine

Wesdome:

Eagle River



EXCELLENT INFRASTRUCTURE

- Located on **Trans-Canada Highway**
- Served by **CPR main rail line**
- Main Marathon deposit is 10 km from **Town of Marathon** (~3,000 pop.)
- **New 230kV power line** from Wawa to Thunder Bay crosses property
- 276 Bed Construction Camp (Option to own) in the Town
- Numerous towns, Indigenous communities **nearby** available for the **core** workforce
- Commercial airport next to the Marathon Deposit

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF




WHY IS COPPER IMPORTANT FOR THE FUTURE

- Copper is **fundamental to the energy transition** because it is essential for electricity generation, distribution, and storage.
- Lack of new discoveries over the past 10 years indicates deficits for the foreseeable future
- Supply and demand **determine the rate of electrification** which is the foundation of current climate policy.
- Many studies have raised concerns that **copper supply cannot meet the copper demand** for the green energy transition. ⁽¹⁾
- Baseline worldwide growth will require 500,000 tonnes of Copper per year. Net zero worldwide growth will require 3 million tonnes of Copper per year.
- **The Project will produce enough Copper to manufacture ≈ 2.8 million battery electrical vehicles over the life of mine.**

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF



(1) International Energy Form, Copper Mining and vehicle Electrification, May 2024

WHY PALLADIUM IS IMPORTANT FOR THE FUTURE

GENERATION MINING


TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

- Primary demand of platinum (Pd) is for **catalytic converters** of Internal Combustion Engines (ICE) which include **hybrid and plug-in hybrid**.
- Worldwide **adoption of Hybrid Electric Vehicles** is accelerating.
- Hybrid Electric Vehicles **use more palladium** than traditional internal combustion engines.
- **BYD** – largest battery electric vehicle manufacture in the world - **>50% of sales are hybrids**.
- High **platinum** prices accelerating return to palladium in autocatalysts -- 1M oz potential
- Hybrid sales double that of EVs in the U.S., 30 times that in Japan (world's number two and three auto markets)
- **Palladium** up 47% year to date, **platinum** up 85% (as of Nov 27/2025)
- **Significant geopolitical risk:** 40% of mine supply comes from Russia, 35% from South Africa
- Largest **palladium** ETF (PALL) had \$100 million inflow in September 2025
- **China** launches **PGM** futures to challenge western futures



PALLADIUM CUMULATIVE FORECAST COSTS

Palladium Cost Curve 2029, (Forecast Real 2025)




Source: AME

Note: Palladium cash costs derived using the by-product method, based on AME's medium-term forecast by-product prices (platinum: US\$1,400/oz, gold: US\$3,050/oz, nickel: US\$17,900/t, copper: US\$10,200/t). A palladium price of US\$1,335/oz was used. **Net of byproducts**

LIGHT DUTY VEHICLE SALES 2015 TO 2025

Quarterly U.S. light-duty vehicle sales by powertrain (1Q15–1Q25)
percentage of sales



Data source: Wards Intelligence

MARCH 2025 FEASIBILITY STUDY HIGHLIGHTS (\$CAD)

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

| After-Tax NPV _{6%} | After-Tax IRR | Initial Capital ⁴ | Payback Period |
|--|--|--|---|
| \$1.07 Billion | 28% | \$992 Million \$US703 Million | 1.9 years |
| LOM Payable | Average Annual Production | First 3 Years of Operations | AISC ² |
| PdEq 4.11M oz CuEq 1.57B lb | Pd 168 koz Cu 42 Mlbs | 720 koz Pd 151 Mlbs Cu | US\$781/PdEq oz. US\$2.05/CuEq lb. |

*For additional information see “**Technical Information**” on slide 2.

NOTES:

¹ Unless otherwise noted: Canadian \$, economic analysis includes cash flow impacts of the WPM Stream. Feasibility Study metal prices assumptions – **US\$1,525 oz Pd, US\$4.00/lb Cu, US\$950/oz Pt, US\$2,000/oz Au, and US\$24/oz Ag, FX USD1:CAD1.35.**

² For additional information on AISC and PdEq see news release entitled “Generation Mining Delivers Updated Feasibility Study for Canada's Next Critical Mineral Mine - the Marathon Palladium-Copper Project” dated March 31, 2023 and “non-IFRS Measures” in MD&A for the interim period ended March 31, 2024.


³ Copper Equivalent pounds (CuEq) uses the formula CuEq Mlbs. = PdEq koz. * US\$1800/oz./US3.70/lb./1,000

⁴ Initial capital with equipment lease

COPPER GRADE SLIDE

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF




Source: Company filings, S&P Capital IQ

Note: CuEq Resource Grade calculated using US\$4.31/lb. Cu, US\$2,720/oz Au, US\$18.97/lb. Mo, US\$30.53/oz Ag, US\$1,137/oz Pd and US\$1,222/oz Pt

Note: Reserve Grade 0.62 % GENM Copper Grade based, Feasibility Grade 0.70% GENM Copper Grade based on 2025 Feasibility Study

FEASIBILITY PRICING GROSS REVENUE




| | | Equivalent AISC | | Net of Byproducts | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | | Pd Eq | Cu Eq | Pd | Cu |
| Payable Metal Units | koz or Mlbs | 4,009 | 1,528 | 2,097 | 521 |
| Cash Cost | US\$/oz or lb | 663 | 1.74 | 1,268 | 5.11 |
| Byproduct Credit | US\$/oz or lb | 0 | 0 | -1,390 | -7.74 |
| Sustaining Capital + Closure | US\$/oz or lb | 118 | 0.31 | 225 | 0.91 |
| AISC | US\$/oz or lb | 781 | 2.05 | 103 | -1.72 |

| Economic Results | Pre-Tax | Post-Tax |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Equity Basis (100%) | | |
| NPV 0% (M C\$) | 3,009 | 2,031 |
| NPV 6% (M C\$) | 1,660 | 1,070 |
| IRR | 35.1% | 27.6% |

SPOT PRICING GROSS REVENUE

GENERATIONMINING


TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF



| | | Equivalent AISC | | Net of Byproducts | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | | Pd Eq | Cu Eq | Pd | Cu |
| Payable Metal Units | koz or Mlbs | 4,959 | 1,432 | 2,097 | 521 |
| Cash Cost | US\$/oz or lb | 525 | 1.82 | 1,242 | 5.00 |
| Byproduct Credit | US\$/oz or lb | 0 | 0 | -1,910 | -8.48 |
| Sustaining Capital + Closure | US\$/oz or lb | 92 | 0.32 | 217 | 0.87 |
| AISC | US\$/oz or lb | 617 | 2.14 | -451 | -2.60 |

| Economic Results | Pre-Tax | Post-Tax |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Equity Basis (100%) | | |
| NPV 0% (M C\$) | 4,034 | 2,749 |
| NPV 6% (M C\$) | 2,279 | 1,498 |
| IRR | 42.3% | 33.4% |

AFTER TAX CASH-FLOW



FEASIBILITY STUDY

2025 FINANCIAL METRICS

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

| Item | Units | 2025 FS ^(b) | March 2025 long-term Consensus ^(d) |
|---|-------|------------------------|---|
| Cumulative After-Tax Cash Flow | | | |
| Up to end of Y3 | \$M | 212 | 89 |
| Up to end of Y5 | \$M | 583 | 403 |
| | | | |
| Economic Results ^{(a)(e)} | | | |
| Pre-Tax Cash Flow (undiscounted) | \$M | 3,009 | 2,576 |
| Pre-Tax NPV _{6%} | \$M | 1,660 | 1,375 |
| Pre-Tax IRR | % | 35.1% | 30.6% |
| Pre-Tax Payback | years | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| After-Tax Cash Flow (undiscounted) | \$M | 2,032 | 1,744 |
| After-Tax NPV _{6%} | \$M | 1,070 | 876 |
| After-Tax IRR | % | 27.6% | 23.8% |
| After-Tax Payback | years | 1.9 | 2.2 |

Notes:

- (a) The economic analysis was carried out in real terms (i.e., without inflation factors) in Q4 2024 Canadian dollars, assuming no project construction financing but inclusive of mining equipment leasing.
- (b) Metal price assumptions are based on the adjusted 3-year historical trailing averages as of November 1, 2024 for each of the metals. The 3-year averages are as follows: Palladium - US\$1,523/oz, Copper at US\$4.02/lb, Platinum at US\$964/oz, Gold at US\$1,995/oz and Silver at US\$24.02/oz.
- (c) See Non-IFRS Financial Measures, below, for additional information on Pre-Tax and After-Tax Cash Flows.

CONSTRUCTION PERMITTING COMPLETED

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

| Key Permit | Regulatory Agency | Supporting Technical Documents | Regulatory Approval |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Phase 1 - Necessary to start early works | | | |
| Closure Plan | Ministry of Mines | Complete | Received |
| Endangered Species Act Permit | Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) | Complete | Received |
| Permit to Remove | Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) | Complete | Received |
| Phase 2 - Necessary to start full construction | | | |
| Navigation Protection Program | Transport Canada | Complete | Received |
| Fisheries Act Authorization | Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) | Complete | Received |
| Env. Compliance Approval (Air) | MECP | Complete | Received |
| Permit to Take Water | MECP | Complete | Received |
| Env. Compliance Approval (Water) | MECP | Complete | Received |
| Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act | MNRF | Complete | Received |
| Phase 3 - Schedule 2 Approval - Metal and Diamond Mining Effluent Regulations (MDMER) | | | |
| MDMER | Environment Canada and Climate Change | Complete | Received |

ADVANCING THE MARATHON PROJECT TOWARDS PRODUCTION

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF



TIMELINE (ESTIMATED)

| | Before 2025 | 2025 | H1 2026 | H2 2026 |
|--|-------------|------|---------|---------|
| C\$240 Million Wheaton Precious Metals Stream | ✓ | | | |
| Biigtigong Nishnaabeg Community Benefits Agreement | ✓ | | | |
| Revised Feasibility Study | | ✓ | | |
| Permits (Construction) | | ✓ | | |
| Mine Financing | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Detailed Engineering, EPCM, Build out Owners Team | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Construction | | | | ✓ |

THE FINANCIAL ROAD MAP TO PRODUCTION

ALL NUMBERS IN CAD

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF



Initial Capital Costs **\$992 Million.**^(a) **\$US703 Million**



Wheaton Precious Metals Stream: early deposit of \$40 million (received) and **\$200M** construction payments for 100% gold and 22% platinum production.



Mandate letter for banking syndicate of **Export Development Canada, ING Capital LLC and Societe Generale** to arrange a Senior Secured Project Finance Facility of up to **\$540M**.



Ongoing discussions for **\$200M** of deeply subordinated debt.



Formalizing an Off-Take Agreement



Mining equipment leasing for initial fleet during Initial Capital period (construction and pre-production).



Provincial/Federal Critical Metal Funding

(a) Initial Capital is a non-IFRS Measure. See Non-IFRS Measures, below, for additional information.

BASE METAL DEVELOPER COMPARABLES

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

| Company | Flagship Property | Location | Stage | Market Capitalization (US\$M) | Enterprise Value (US\$M) | 2P Reserves (Mlbs CuEq) | MI+I Resource (Mlbs CuEq) | EV/2P (US\$/lb CuEq) | EV/MI+I (US\$/lb CuEq) | P/NAV (x) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Ivanhoe Electric | Santa Cruz | Nevada | PFS | \$2,257 | \$2,259 | 3,245 | 10,271 | \$0.696 | \$0.220 | 1.10x |
| Foran Mining | Mcllvenna Bay | Saskatchewan | FS | \$1,461 | \$1,470 | 1,565 | 2,462 | \$0.940 | \$0.597 | 0.89x |
| Trilogy Metals | Arctic | Alaska | FS | \$1,390 | \$1,367 | 1,944 | 5,550 | \$0.703 | \$0.246 | 2.19x |
| Marimaca Copper | Marimaca | Chile | DFS | \$973 | \$949 | 1,650 | 2,019 | \$0.575 | \$0.470 | 0.79x |
| ATEX Resources | Valeriano | Chile | Resource | \$615 | \$596 | - | 26,107 | n/a | \$0.023 | 0.39x |
| Western Copper and Gold | Casino | Yukon | FS | \$497 | \$454 | 23,232 | 46,013 | \$0.020 | \$0.010 | 0.45x |
| Arizona Sonoran | Cactus | Arizona | PEA | \$419 | \$362 | 1,708 | 12,703 | \$0.212 | \$0.028 | 0.44x |
| Faraday Copper | Copper Creek | Arizona | PEA | \$336 | \$334 | - | 6,560 | n/a | \$0.051 | 0.72x |
| NorthIsle Copper and Gold | North Island | British Columbia | PEA | \$293 | \$290 | - | 11,976 | n/a | \$0.024 | 0.35x |
| Osisko Metals | Gaspe | Quebec | Resource | \$235 | \$214 | - | 21,622 | n/a | \$0.010 | 0.30x |
| Copper Fox Metals | Van Dyke | British Columbia | PEA | \$163 | \$162 | - | 10,624 | n/a | \$0.015 | 0.72x |
| New World Resources (at Txn.) | Antler | Arizona | PFS | \$153 | \$153 | 804 | 1,557 | \$0.190 | \$0.098 | n/a |
| Generation Mining | Marathon | Ontario | FS | \$143 | \$135 | 1,778 | 5,019 | \$0.076 | \$0.027 | 0.44x |
| Highland Copper | Copperwood | Michigan | FS | \$84 | \$80 | 2,983 | 8,984 | \$0.027 | \$0.009 | 0.52x |
| Arizona Metals | Kay Mine | Arizona | Resource | \$79 | \$61 | - | 746 | n/a | \$0.081 | 0.31x |
| Surge Copper | Ootsa-Berg | British Columbia | PEA | \$69 | \$68 | - | 33,968 | n/a | \$0.002 | n/a |
| Kutcho Copper | Kutcho | British Columbia | FS | \$23 | \$22 | 1,030 | 1,975 | \$0.021 | \$0.011 | n/a |
| Pacific Booker Minerals | Morrison | British Columbia | Resource | \$11 | \$11 | 2,777 | 3,968 | \$0.004 | \$0.003 | n/a |
| Mean | | | | \$511 | \$499 | 2,373 | 11,785 | \$0.315 | \$0.107 | 0.69x |
| Mean (ex. High/Low) | | | | \$433 | \$420 | 1,218 | 10,335 | \$0.280 | \$0.083 | 0.59x |


Source: Company Filings

Note: Resources are shown inclusive of reserves, copper equivalents calculated using broker consensus metal prices

LASSONDE CURVE – THE DISCOVERY LIFECYCLE

GENERATIONMINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF



CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Capital Structure


| | |
|--|---------|
| Shares Outstanding* | 268.4 M |
| Warrants (\$0.485 avg. price) | 25.9 M |
| Options/RSUs/DSUs* | 13.9 M |
| Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding* | 308.3 M |
| Market Capitalization (Share price: C\$0.59) As of November 26, 2025 | 158 M |

Analyst Coverage

Pierre Vaillancourt

Haywood Securities

Share Ownership



MANAGEMENT TEAM

JAMIE LEVY

President, CEO & Director

Mr. Levy is President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. Prior thereto, Mr. Levy held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Pine Point Mining Limited (“Pine Point”), the predecessor to the Company, since 2013. Mr. Levy has approximately 22 years of experience and exposure in the exploration and mining industry.

BRIAN JENNINGS CPA, CA, B.Sc

Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Jennings is a Chartered Accountant and geologist with 30 years of experience working as a senior financial executive and corporate restructuring professional. He is currently the Chief Executive Officer of Veta Resources Inc. which is focused on gold exploration in Southern Chile. Mr. Jennings also spent nine years with Ernst & Young, where he was Vice-President Corporate Restructuring.

CLINTON SWEMMER P.Eng PrEng (rsa) PMP MSAICE

VP Projects

Mr. Swemmer is a seasoned project leader with over 25 years of global experience in mining and engineering. He has overseen multi-billion-dollar developments across various commodities, specializing in EPCM and EPC delivery models. His expertise spans permitting, construction, operations, and stakeholder engagement, with a strong focus on ESG integration. He holds a First-Class Honours degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Hertfordshire, is a licensed Professional Engineer in Ontario, and is a certified PMP.

RUBEN WALLIN P.Eng

VP Sustainability

Mr. Wallin has management experience in the areas of environment, permitting, Indigenous and community relations and government relations. Previously held positions - Placer Dome, De Beers Canada, Barrick, Osisko and Detour Gold. Formerly Vice President Environment and Sustainability for Detour Gold.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

KERRY KNOLL

Chairman

Mr. Knoll was a co-founder of Generation Mining and started several mining companies over the past four decades, including successful heap leach miner Wheaton River (which was also the parent of Wheaton Precious Metals), Thompson Creek, which became one of the world's largest primary molybdenum miners, and Glencairn Gold, which had three operating mines in Central America.

STEPHEN REFORD

BA.Sc, P.Eng

Mr. Reford was a director of Pine Point, the predecessor to the Company, since June 26, 2011. Mr. Reford is Senior Geophysicist & Head of Smart Geophysical Interpretation at Xcalibur Smart Mapping, and was formerly the President of Paterson, Grant & Watson Limited, a geophysical consulting company, from 2016 to 2025.

PHILLIP C. WALFORD

P.Geo, P.Eng

Mr. Walford held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Marathon Gold Corporation from November 2010 to August 2019. Previously, he was a founder and President of Marathon PGM Corporation, at the time when that company owned Generation Mining's Marathon Palladium-Copper Project. He guided Marathon PGM through advanced exploration until it was taken over by Stillwater Mining Company in 2010 for US\$118 million.

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

JAMIE LEVY

President and CEO

Mr. Levy is President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. Prior thereto, Mr. Levy held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Pine Point Mining Limited ("Pine Point"), the predecessor to the Company, since 2013. Mr. Levy has approximately 22 years of experience and exposure in the exploration and mining industry.

REBECCA HUDSON

CPA, CA, M.ACC

Ms. Hudson is a Chartered Professional Accountant with over 25 years' experience in accounting and financial reporting, corporate finance, risk management, financial audit and corporate governance. She currently serves as the CFO of Restart Life Sciences Corp., Signature Resources Ltd., Energy Plug Technologies Corp., and a private drilling company, Andean Drilling Services Inc.

KYLE KUNTZ

MBA

Mr. Kuntz is a mining project executive with over a decade of experience leading large-scale mining developments across North America. He currently holds the position of Vice President, Projects at Equinox Gold Corp. He brings deep expertise in transforming mineral projects from feasibility studies into operational assets.

GENERATION MINING



Jamie Levy

President & CEO

Email: jlevy@genmining.com

Phone: 416 567 2440

100 King St West, Suite 7010

Toronto, Ontario,

Canada M5X 1B1

GENERATION MINING

Appendix

MINERAL RESOURCES AND RESERVES

| Mineral Reserves (Marathon Deposit) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------|-------|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|
| Classification | Tonnes | Pd | | Cu | | Pt | | Au | | Ag | |
| | Mt | g/t | koz | % | M lb | g/t | koz | g/t | koz | g/t | koz |
| Proven | 115.5 | 0.66 | 2,434 | 0.22 | 549 | 0.20 | 754 | 0.07 | 264 | 1.7 | 6,242 |
| Probable | 12.7 | 0.47 | 193 | 0.20 | 56 | 0.15 | 61 | 0.06 | 26 | 1.6 | 635 |
| Total P&P | 128.3 | 0.64 | 2,627 | 0.21 | 605 | 0.20 | 815 | 0.07 | 291 | 1.7 | 6,877 |

| Mineral Resources (Total Site including Marathon Deposit + Geordie and Sally) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|--------|
| Classification | Tonnes | Pd | | Cu | | Pt | | Au | | Ag | |
| | Mt | g/t | koz | % | M lb | g/t | koz | g/t | koz | g/t | koz |
| Measured | 164.0 | 0.56 | 2,973 | 0.20 | 712 | 0.18 | 970 | 0.07 | 358 | 1.7 | 9,089 |
| Indicated | 80.1 | 0.41 | 1,066 | 0.21 | 379 | 0.13 | 339 | 0.06 | 152 | 1.5 | 3,814 |
| Meas. + Ind. | 244.1 | 0.51 | 4,039 | 0.20 | 1,091 | 0.17 | 1,309 | 0.06 | 510 | 1.6 | 12,903 |
| Inferred | 29.8 | 0.39 | 370 | 0.22 | 147 | 0.10 | 94 | 0.05 | 44 | 1.4 | 1,374 |

Slide Notes

Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. The above Mineral Resources and Reserves are based on the 2025 Feasibility Study Report Update issued on March 28, 2025 with an effective date of November 1, 2024. The report is filed under the Company's profile on www.sedarplus.ca or on the Company's website at <https://genmining.com/projects/feasibility-study>. See the accompanying notes on the subsequent slide

MINERAL RESOURCES BY DEPOSIT

GENERATIONMINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

| Mineral Resource Classification | Tonnes | Pd | | Cu | | Pt | | Au | | Ag | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| | Mt | g/t | koz | % | M lbs | g/t | koz | g/t | koz | g/t | koz |
| Marathon Deposit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measured | 164.0 | 0.56 | 2,973 | 0.20 | 712 | 0.18 | 970 | 0.07 | 358 | 1.7 | 9,089 |
| Indicated | 38.1 | 0.39 | 476 | 0.18 | 153 | 0.13 | 159 | 0.06 | 71 | 1.6 | 1,896 |
| Meas. + Ind. | 202.0 | 0.53 | 3,449 | 0.19 | 865 | 0.17 | 1,129 | 0.07 | 429 | 1.7 | 10,985 |
| Inferred | 2.9 | 0.36 | 34 | 0.16 | 10 | 0.13 | 12 | 0.06 | 6 | 1.2 | 112 |
| Geordie Deposit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicated | 17.3 | 0.56 | 312 | 0.35 | 133 | 0.04 | 20 | 0.05 | 25 | 2.4 | 1,351 |
| Inferred | 12.9 | 0.51 | 212 | 0.28 | 80 | 0.03 | 12 | 0.03 | 14 | 2.4 | 982 |
| Sally Deposit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicated | 24.8 | 0.35 | 278 | 0.17 | 93 | 0.2 | 160 | 0.07 | 56 | 0.7 | 567 |
| Inferred | 14.0 | 0.28 | 124 | 0.19 | 57 | 0.15 | 70 | 0.05 | 24 | 0.6 | 280 |
| Total Project | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measured | 164.0 | 0.56 | 2,973 | 0.20 | 712 | 0.18 | 970 | 0.07 | 358 | 1.7 | 9,089 |
| Indicated | 80.1 | 0.41 | 1,066 | 0.21 | 379 | 0.13 | 339 | 0.06 | 152 | 1.5 | 3,814 |
| Meas. + Ind. | 244.1 | 0.51 | 4,039 | 0.20 | 1,091 | 0.17 | 1,309 | 0.06 | 510 | 1.6 | 12,903 |
| Inferred | 29.8 | 0.39 | 370 | 0.22 | 147 | 0.10 | 94 | 0.05 | 44 | 1.4 | 1,374 |

Slide Notes

Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. The above Mineral Resources are based on the 2025 Feasibility Study Report Update issued on March 28, 2025 with an effective date of November 1, 2024. The report is filed under the Company's profile on www.sedarplus.ca or on the Company's website at <https://genmining.com/projects/feasibility-study>. See the accompanying notes on the subsequent slide

MINERAL RESOURCES AND RESERVES NOTES

Mineral Reserves Notes:

- a. The Mineral Reserves Estimate were prepared by Marc Schulte, P.Eng., who is also an independent Qualified Person, reported using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards, and have an effective date of November 1, 2024.
- b. Mineral Reserves are a subset of the Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources Estimate that has an effective date of November 1, 2024. Inferred Class Resources are treated as waste.
- c. Mineral Reserves are based on the Updated Marathon Project Feasibility Study mine plan.
- d. Mineral Reserves are mined tonnes and grade, the reference point is the process plant feed at the primary crusher. Process plant feed tonnes and grade include consideration of mining operational dilution and recovery.
- e. Mineral Reserves are reported at a cut-off grade of \$16/t NSR and based on the following inputs:
 - 1. Effective metal prices of pit shell used for ultimate pit designs of US\$1,144/oz Pd, US\$3.0/lb Cu, US\$713/oz Pt, US\$1500/oz Au and US\$18/oz Ag (Based on revenue factor 0.75), and an exchange rate of 1.35 C\$:1.00 US\$.
 - 2. NSR cut-off assumes Pd Price of US\$1,525/oz, Cu price of US\$4.00/lb, Pt Price of US\$950/oz, Au price of US\$2,000/oz, Ag price of US\$24/oz, at an exchange rate of 0.74 US dollar per 1.00 Canadian dollar.
 - 3. Payable %'s of 95% for Pd, 96.5% for Cu, 93% for Pt, 93.5% for Au, 93.5% for Ag;
 - 4. Refining charges of US\$24.5/oz for Pd, US\$0.079/lb for Cu, US\$24.5/oz for Pt, US\$0.50/oz for Ag;
 - 5. Minimum deductions of 2.875 g/t for Pd, 1.1% for Cu, 2.875 g/t for Pt, 1.0 g/t for Au, 30.0 g/t for Ag;
 - 6. Treatment charges of US\$79/t and transport and offsite costs of US\$125/t concentrates, concentrate ratio of 90.9%;
 - 7. Metallurgical recoveries of 89.5% for Pd, 94.0% for Cu, 84.0% for Pt, 83.1% for Au, 68.0% for Ag
- f. The cut-off grade covers processing costs of \$8.27/t, general and administrative (G&A) costs of \$2.63/t, sustaining and closure costs of \$3.13/t, ore mining differential costs of \$0.57/t, and stockpile rehandle costs of \$1.40/t.
- g. Numbers have been rounded, which may result in summation differences. Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM) Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (CIM (2014) definitions) were used for Mineral Reserve classification.

Mineral Resources Notes:

- a. Mineral Resources were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions (2014) and Best Practices Guidelines (2019) prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.
- b. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, or other relevant issues. Mineral Resources are reported inclusive of Mineral Reserves.
- c. The Inferred Mineral Resource in this estimate has a lower level of confidence than that applied to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of the Inferred Mineral Resource could be upgraded to an Indicated Mineral Resource with continued exploration.
- d. The Marathon Mineral Resource is reported within a constrained pit shell at a NSR cut-off value of \$13.6/t.
- e. $\text{Marathon NSR (\$/t)} = (\text{Cu \%} \times 111.49) + (\text{Ag g/t} \times 0.73) + (\text{Au g/t} \times 80.18) + (\text{Pd g/t} \times 56.02) + (\text{Pt g/t} \times 36.49) - 2.66$
- f. The Marathon Mineral Resource Estimate was based on metal prices of US\$1,550/oz Pd, US\$4.250/lb Cu, US\$1,100/oz Pt, US\$2,300/oz Au and US\$27/oz Ag, and a C\$:US\$ exchange rate of C\$1.35 to US\$1.00.
- g. The Sally and Geordie mineral resources are reported within a constraining pit shell at a NSR cut-off value of \$13/t.
- h. $\text{Sally and Geordie NSR (\$/t)} = (\text{Ag g/t} \times 0.48) + (\text{Au g/t} \times 42.14) + (\text{Cu \%} \times 73.27) + (\text{Pd g/t} \times 50.50) + (\text{Pt g/t} \times 25.07) - 2.62$
- i. The Sally and Geordie Mineral Resource Estimate was based on metal prices of US\$1,600/oz Pd, US\$3.00/lb Cu, US\$900/oz Pt, US\$1,500/oz Au and US\$18/oz Ag, and a C\$:US\$ exchange rate of 1.30 C\$ to 1.00 US\$.
- j. Numbers have been rounded, which may result in summation differences.

METAL SENSITIVITIES

| After-Tax NPV _{6%} Results | | Palladium Price Sensitivity (US\$/oz) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | 800 | 1,000 | 1,250 | 1,500 | 1,525 | 1,750 | 2,000 | 2,200 |
| Copper Price Sensitivity (US\$/lb) | 2.50 | (291) | (9) | 308 | 612 | 643 | 916 | 1,214 | 1,466 |
| | 3.00 | (120) | 145 | 452 | 758 | 788 | 1,057 | 1,368 | 1,606 |
| | 3.50 | 41 | 296 | 598 | 899 | 929 | 1,211 | 1,509 | 1,746 |
| | 4.00 | 194 | 438 | 741 | 1,040 | 1,070 | 1,352 | 1,649 | 1,886 |
| | 4.50 | 337 | 582 | 883 | 1,195 | 1,225 | 1,492 | 1,788 | 2,023 |
| | 5.00 | 484 | 723 | 1,023 | 1,335 | 1,365 | 1,632 | 1,927 | 2,165 |
| | 5.50 | 625 | 866 | 1,178 | 1,475 | 1,505 | 1,771 | 2,067 | 2,306 |

| After-Tax Results | OPEX Sensitivity | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | +30% | +15% | 0% | -15% | -30% |
| NPV _{6%} (\$M) | 669 | 871 | 1,070 | 1,282 | 1,479 |
| Payback (yrs) | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| IRR (%) | 21.2% | 24.6% | 27.6% | 30.5% | 33.1% |

| After-Tax IRR Results | | Palladium Price Sensitivity (US\$/oz) | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | 800 | 1,000 | 1,250 | 1,500 | 1,525 | 1,750 | 2,000 | 2,200 |
| Copper Price Sensitivity (US\$/lb) | 2.50 | - | 5.7% | 13.5% | 19.9% | 20.5% | 25.5% | 30.7% | 34.5% |
| | 3.00 | 2.8% | 9.6% | 16.4% | 22.4% | 23.0% | 27.8% | 32.7% | 36.4% |
| | 3.50 | 7.0% | 12.9% | 19.2% | 24.8% | 25.4% | 30.0% | 34.7% | 38.3% |
| | 4.00 | 10.5% | 15.8% | 21.7% | 27.1% | 27.6% | 32.1% | 36.6% | 40.1% |
| | 4.50 | 13.6% | 18.5% | 24.1% | 29.3% | 29.8% | 34.1% | 38.5% | 41.9% |
| | 5.00 | 16.4% | 21.0% | 26.4% | 31.4% | 31.9% | 36.0% | 40.3% | 43.6% |
| | 5.50 | 19.0% | 23.5% | 28.6% | 33.4% | 33.8% | 37.8% | 42.1% | 45.3% |

| After-Tax Results | CAPEX Sensitivity | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | +30% | +15% | 0% | -15% | -30% |
| NPV _{6%} (\$M) | 860 | 966 | 1,070 | 1,173 | 1,277 |
| Payback (yrs) | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| IRR (%) | 19.6% | 23.1% | 27.6% | 33.8% | 42.7% |

| After-Tax Results | FX Sensitivity | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | 1.25 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.45 |
| NPV _{6%} (\$M) | 840 | 955 | 1,070 | 1,199 | 1,313 |
| Payback (yrs) | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| IRR (%) | 23.7% | 25.7% | 27.6% | 29.5% | 31.3% |

MARATHON CRITICAL MINERALS

MINE PLAN

GENERATION MINING

TSX:GENM OTCQB: GENMF

| | Units | 2025 TR |
|---|-----------|---------|
| LOM Throughput | | |
| Peak Process Plant Throughput | TPD | 27,700 |
| | Mt/year | 10.1 |
| Peak Mining Rate | Tpd | 164,000 |
| | Mt/year | 60 |
| Mine Production (LOM) | | |
| Total Mined | Mt | 489.7 |
| Total Waste Mined | Mt | 361.4 |
| Total Ore Mined | Mt | 128.3 |
| Strip Ratio | Waste:Ore | 2.8 |
| Payable Metal (LOM) | | |
| Palladium | k oz | 2,161 |
| Copper | M lbs | 532 |
| Platinum | k oz | 488 |
| Gold | k oz | 160 |
| Silver | k oz | 3,051 |
| Payable Metal (Pre-Prod + 3 Yrs of Operations) | | |
| Palladium | k oz | 720 |
| Copper | M lbs | 151 |
| Platinum | k oz | 156 |
| Gold | k oz | 47 |
| Silver | k oz | 591 |

CAPEX AND OPEX

| Capital Area | 2025 FS (\$M) |
|--|------------------|
| Mobile Equipment for Construction ^(a) | 74 |
| Processing Plant | 280 |
| Infrastructure | 88 |
| TSF, Water Management and Earthworks | 97 |
| EPCM, General and Owners Cost | 198 |
| Preproduction, Startup, Commissioning | 169 |
| Contingency | 87 |
| Initial Capital^(b) | 992 |
| Preproduction revenue ^(b) | (184) |
| Total | 809 |
| Sustaining Capital | 565 |
| Closure and Reclamation Costs | 72 |

Notes:

^(a) Mobile equipment acquired for Construction is presented as the cost of equipment deposits and lease payments during the construction and pre-production period. The remainder of the equipment leasing costs are incurred during operations and included in sustaining capital.

^(b) See Non-IFRS Financial Measures, below, for additional information on Initial Capital and Preproduction Revenue

| Description | Units | Operating Cost |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Mining ^(a) | \$/t processed | 12.93 |
| Processing | \$/t processed | 8.57 |
| General & Administration | \$/t processed | 2.62 |
| Concentrate Transport Costs | \$/t processed | 1.96 |
| Treatment & Refining Charges | \$/t processed | 2.38 |
| Royalties | \$/t processed | 0.10 |
| Total Operating Costs | \$/t processed | 28.56 |
| Average Operating Cost | US\$/oz PdEq ^(c) | 663 |
| Average All-in Sustaining Cost^(b) | US\$/oz PdEq^(c) | 781 |
| Average Operating Cost | US\$/lb CuEq ^(c) | 1.74 |
| Average All-in Sustaining Cost^(b) | US\$/lb CuEq^(c) | 2.05 |

Notes:

^(a) Mining cost per tonne mined is C\$3.49/t .

^(b) All-in sustaining cost excludes the impact of the Wheaton PMPA.

^(c) See Non-IFRS Financial Measures, below, for additional information on Operating Costs, AISC, PdEq and CuEq.